

BOD Strategic Discussion

May 1, 2026



Discussion Topics

- ▶ Governance
 - Term Limits
 - New Director Recruitment
- ▶ Separate Entity
- ▶ Healthcare Ad Hoc Committee



Governance – Term Limits

Most Common Nonprofit Model:

- ▶ 3-year term
- ▶ Renewable once
- ▶ Maximum of 2 consecutive terms
- ▶ Total consecutive service = 6 years
- ▶ Then mandatory 1- year break

This is the most frequently cited governance structure by organizations like BoardSource and nonprofit governance advisors.

Is this right for ProKids?

Pros:

- ▶ New skills
- ▶ Fresh thinking
- ▶ New relationships
- ▶ Evolving needs over time

Cons:

- ▶ Fatigued board members
- ▶ Low engagement
- ▶ Limited pool of director candidates
- ▶ Exists by state funding and defined need so limited "scope"
- ▶ Our mission of EI is important but...

Term limits force boards to:

- ▶ Recruit continuously
- ▶ Mentor intentionally
- ▶ Avoid dependence on a few individuals

How it might look...

Directors

- ▶ 3-year staggered terms
- ▶ Maximum 2 consecutive terms
- ▶ Eligible again after 1-year break

Officers

- ▶ 1 or 2-year officer terms
- ▶ Chair max 2 consecutive officer terms

Additional Governance Considerations

- ▶ an advisory council
- ▶ emeritus board category

This allows long-serving supporters to remain connected without dominating governance

Board Recruitment

- ▶ How do we get this moving
- ▶ Skills gaps?
- ▶ Do we look like those we serve?
- ▶ Do we need a Governance Committee?



Thoughts and discussion



ProKids Separate Entity

Exploring a Long-Term Sustainability Foundation for ProKids

Purpose of Discussion

- ▶ Introduce a potential affiliated “foundation” structure
- ▶ Explore long-term sustainability and reserve stewardship
- ▶ Consider future continuity planning for early intervention services in Central Indiana
- ▶ Begin governance-level dialogue regarding strategic financial preservation

Historical Operating Reality

Over multiple years, ProKids:

- ▶ Assumed significant financial risks
- ▶ Maintained critical eligibility and SPOE functions during financially challenging periods
- ▶ Experienced losses within “at-risk” ED service areas
- ▶ Relied on broader Cluster G performance to sustain operations
- ▶ Continued serving children and families despite reimbursement and operational pressures

Key Strategic Question

- ▶ How should ProKids responsibly preserve and steward organizational resources generated through prudent management and long-term mission commitment?

Long-Term Consideration

Should a portion of unrestricted reserves from ED revenue be preserved separately to help ensure:

- ▶ Mission continuity
- ▶ Financial stability
- ▶ Service sustainability
- ▶ EI Grants to others?

Separate but Affiliated Nonprofit Entity – The ProKids Foundation

- ▶ Independent 501(c)(3) foundation
- ▶ Separate governance and fiduciary oversight
- ▶ No direct employees
- ▶ Administrative support provided through agreement with ProKids
- ▶ Stewardship of designated reserves and long-term philanthropic assets
- ▶ Focused exclusively on sustaining early intervention services and related mission priorities

Potential Functions:

- ▶ Long-term reserve preservation
- ▶ Endowment development
- ▶ Planned and legacy giving
- ▶ Mission continuity support

Governance Framework Considerations

- ▶ Separate foundation board structure
- ▶ Select overlap with ProKids board representation
- ▶ Independent financial and community expertise
- ▶ Formal investment and reserve policies
- ▶ Transparent related-party governance
- ▶ Independent legal and accounting review

Any potential structure would operate with full regulatory, audit, and fiduciary compliance

Today's Objective

Introduce the concept and begin a strategic dialogue regarding long-term sustainability and stewardship.

At a future date, the board may consider:

- ▶ Legal feasibility review
- ▶ Financial and accounting analysis
- ▶ Governance framework options
- ▶ Foundation structure alternatives
- ▶ Investment and reserve policy considerations
- ▶ Regulatory and contractual implications



Thoughts and discussion

Healthcare Ad Hoc Committee

Why now?

- ▶ 149 employees
- ▶ Significant expenditure on healthcare benefits

What the committee will focus on:

- ▶ Alternatives to traditional fully insured options (cost neutral)
- ▶ Ways to enhance benefits and lower annual out-of-pocket

Volunteers? ;-)